

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey Report

Introduction

The Asilomar Accords (<http://www.asilomaraccords.org/>) were established in 2004 with the goal of establishing a uniform method for collecting and reporting shelter data with the goal of saving the lives of all healthy and treatable companion animals entering shelters.

The BC SPCA (<http://www.sPCA.bc.ca/>) operates 37 sheltering branches across the province of British Columbia that rescue approximately 23,000 companion animals per year. In 2015, BC SPCA shelters reported live release rates of 93% for dogs and 87% for cats (well above North American averages). Live releases include animals who were adopted, returned to their guardians, or transferred to other organizations for adoption.

Over the past decade, the BC SPCA has consistently increased annual medical budgets for shelter animal care to enable animals to be saved who otherwise may have faced euthanasia for treatable medical and/or behavioural problems. Examples of major and/or contagious conditions that can now be treated include skeletal trauma requiring surgical intervention, severe dental disease, feline calicivirus, and ringworm. However, some animals still enter the care of the BC SPCA with complicated and/or chronic medical or behavioural conditions, and in some cases these animals cannot be treated and are euthanized.

As part of ongoing efforts to save more companion animals, assure consistent operational practices, and promote transparency and collaboration, in 2014 the BC SPCA began the process of implementing the Asilomar Accords data collection system at all of our sheltering facilities.

Part of this process involves establishing community definitions for categorizing treatability of medical and behavioural conditions (see attachment: Asilomar Accords Categories). In order to do this, the BC SPCA conducted an online survey during the month of November 2015, followed by a Community Meeting. The results of the Survey and Meeting were incorporated into a comprehensive document describing how to apply the Asilomar Accords in our sheltering branches. This document is publicly available on the BC SPCA website and a summary of the survey results can be found in Appendix A, column three, "Asilomar Category." The document also includes guidelines for determining adoptability.

It is important to note that the Asilomar Accords Category does not determine the outcome or adoptability of the animal. These are determined based on organizational resources, public safety, and ability to maintain good welfare during the shelter stay. The Asilomar Accords Category is simply a way to describe how the broader community regards the treatability of various conditions. This information is powerful because organizations can improve lifesaving capabilities by analyzing outcome data for different Asilomar Categories, identifying where treatable animals are not currently able to be treated, and putting resources in place to start treating and saving those animals.

Survey

The survey was open from November 1, 2015 to December 2, 2015. It was promoted via Facebook and Twitter, e-mailed to lists of veterinarians and shelters/rescue groups in BC, and e-mailed to BC SPCA staff, volunteers, and Community Council and Board Members. There were 1230 respondents, of which the largest group was pet guardians with no organizational affiliation (413). There were also 400 BC SPCA volunteers, 90 BC SPCA staff members, 27 BC SPCA Community Council or Board members, 41 veterinarians, 80 Rescue/Animal Charity volunteers (non-BC SPCA), and 30 Rescue/Animal Charity staff members (non-BC SPCA).

The survey design included 6 pages of conditions: one page each for Canine, Feline, and Small Mammal medical and behavioural conditions. There were 279 total conditions. Respondents were asked to select treatability based on what they felt the average pet guardian or adopter would do. Respondents were encouraged to skip conditions that they were not familiar with or where they were not comfortable answering.

Of the 1230 respondents who started the survey, roughly half proceeded past the first page of conditions. Because the traditional design of Asilomar Accords surveys is aimed at veterinarians, it is expected that many laypeople would not feel comfortable assigning definitions.

Results were first analyzed based on aggregated data from all respondents. This data was displayed in bar graph form, allowing quick visual identification of the top response choice, as well as in a table of raw data showing the number/ percentage of respondents selecting each option. (See attachment: Full Survey Results).

In most cases, the top response choice was easy to identify and represented the majority of responses. However, for many conditions there was no single clear top response choice. Possible reasons for this include the inherently vague nature of the questions (for many conditions, severity would determine treatability), respondent lack of familiarity with clinical details, or true variation in viewpoints.

60 conditions where the top response choice was not clear were selected for further discussion at the community meeting (see below). The survey results were also further analyzed by filtering out only responses from veterinarians, in order to remove the variable of respondent lack of familiarity with the conditions. In many cases, this enabled a clear choice to emerge. For a small number of conditions without clear agreement (fewer than 10 medical and behavioural conditions), additional consultation with veterinarians who participated in the survey/meeting and with a PhD companion animal behaviour scientist familiar with the Asilomar Accords was conducted.

In order to determine whether all of BC could be considered a single “community” for the purposes of implementing the Asilomar Accords, survey responses for each of the four major regions of BC (listed in Question 2) were individually filtered and then compared. Although formal statistical analysis was not performed, the survey responses were very similar between regions and no obvious differences that would affect the outcome of the condition assignments were observed. Thus, all of BC can be considered a single community for the purposes of this work.

Meeting

Everyone who participated in the survey was asked if they wanted to attend a community meeting. 124 people expressed interest in attending a community meeting in the evening, either in person in Vancouver, or via webinar. An additional 63 people expressed interest in attending but preferred a meeting during the day. Based on this response, an evening meeting was organized on November 26, and everyone who expressed interest was invited via an evite.

There were 15 people who attended the meeting in person, and 8 who attended via a webinar with the same audio feed and slides. Most of the attendees were from the BC SPCA (18) including managers, staff, and one volunteer. Other attendees included four veterinarians who collectively work with five different animal welfare organizations, and one representative from a rescue group on Vancouver Island.

After introductions, the first part of the meeting consisted of a brief presentation about the Asilomar Accords, followed by case examples of Asilomar category assignments. Then, attendees broke up into five groups and worked on discussing and obtaining consensus about category assignments for the 60 selected conditions. After the meeting, attendees were contacted to provide feedback, which was positive. Several attendees expressed a desire for more meetings where organizations could come together and share information.

Next Steps

By the end of February 2016, the BC SPCA will begin assigning Asilomar Categories for all shelter animals at intake and exit. Preliminary data will be shared during a presentation at the Canadian Federation of Humane Societies annual meeting in April 2016, and annual data will be reported publicly (using the report template on the Asilomar Accords website) via the BC SPCA website. The data will be used to plan resources so that the BC SPCA can continue to “move the bar” on what conditions can be treated in shelter animals, ultimately saving more animals.

The Asilomar Category Definitions established through this process reflect views across BC, and are not BC SPCA-specific. The BC SPCA encourages other animal sheltering and rescue organizations in BC to implement the Asilomar Accords so that data can be shared and organizations can collaborate to save more shelter animals. Representatives of other organizations are invited to contact animalhealth@spca.bc.ca to obtain additional materials and support in implementing this data collection system.

Attachments

- 1) Asilomar Accords Categories
- 2) Full Survey Results
- 3) Conditions for Further Discussion/Consensus

Healthy (H)

The term “healthy” means and includes all dogs and cats eight weeks of age or older that, at or subsequent to the time the animal is taken into possession, have manifested no sign of a behavioural or temperamental characteristic that could pose a health or safety risk or otherwise make the animal unsuitable for placement as a pet, and have manifested no sign of disease, injury, a congenital or hereditary condition that adversely affects the health of the animal or that is likely to adversely affect the animal’s health in the future.

Treatable

Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)

The term “rehabilitatable” means and includes all dogs and cats who are not “healthy,” but who are likely to become “healthy,” if given medical, foster, behavioural, or other care equivalent to the care typically provided to pets by reasonable and caring pet owners/guardians in the community.

Treatable-Manageable (TM)

The term “manageable” means and includes all dogs and cats who are not “healthy” and who are not likely to become “healthy,” regardless of the care provided; but who would likely maintain a satisfactory quality of life, if given medical, foster, behavioural, or other care, including long-term care, equivalent to the care typically provided to pets by reasonable and caring owners/guardians in the community; provided, however, that the term “manageable” does not include any dog or cat who is determined to pose a significant risk to human health or safety or to the health or safety of other animals.

Unhealthy & Untreatable (UU)


The term “unhealthy and untreatable” means and includes all dogs and cats who, at or subsequent to the time they are taken into possession,

- (1) have a behavioural or temperamental characteristic that poses a health or safety risk or otherwise makes the animal unsuitable for placement as a pet, and are not likely to become “healthy” or “treatable” even if provided the care typically provided to pets by reasonable and caring pet owners/guardians in the community; or
- (2) are suffering from a disease, injury, or congenital or hereditary condition that adversely affects the animal’s health or is likely to adversely affect the animal’s health in the future, and are not likely to become “healthy” or “treatable” even if provided the care typically provided to pets by reasonable and caring pet owners/guardians in the community; or
- (3) are under the age of eight weeks and are not likely to become “healthy” or “treatable,” even if provided the care typically provided to pets by reasonable and caring pet owners/ guardians in the community.

Healthy: No medical or behavioural problems, over 8 weeks of age	Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR): Has medical or behavioural problem(s) that can be resolved with care typical of reasonable/caring pet guardian in community	Treatable-Manageable (TM): Has medical or behavioural problem(s) that cannot be resolved but can be managed with satisfactory quality of life, if given care typical of reasonable/caring pet guardian in community	Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU): Has medical or behavioural condition(s) with adverse effects on health and/or safety and cannot be made “healthy” or “treatable” even if given care typical of reasonable/caring pet guardian in community
---	--	--	---

Q1 This survey is for residents of British Columbia. Are you a resident of British Columbia?(If you are not a resident of British Columbia, please stop and exit survey now.)


Answered: 1,230 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	99.76%	1,227
No	0.24%	3
Total		1,230

Q2 In what region of British Columbia are you located? (Please choose the answer that best applies).


Answered: 1,230 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Interior (Okanagan, Kootenay)	20.41% 251
Lower Mainland	43.98% 541
North (Cariboo, Northwest/Skeena, Northeast/Peace)	7.97% 98
Vancouver Island & Sunshine Coast	27.64% 340
Total	1,230

Q3 Please choose the single occupation/role that best describes your relationship with companion animals in your community.


Answered: 1,230 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	
Veterinarian	3.33%	41
Veterinary Staff Member	2.68%	33
Rescue/Animal Charity Volunteer (non-BC SPCA)	6.50%	80
Rescue/Animal Charity Staff Member (non-BC SPCA)	2.44%	30
BC SPCA Staff Member	7.32%	90
BC SPCA Volunteer	32.52%	400
BC SPCA Community Council or Board Member	2.20%	27
Pet Guardian (none of the above also apply)	33.58%	413
Other (describe below)	9.43%	116
Total		1,230

Q4 Please select one answer per CANINE MEDICAL condition. If you are unsure or not comfortable selecting an answer for a condition, leave it blank.


Answered: 594 Skipped: 636




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



	Healthy (H)	Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)	Treatable-Manageable (TM)	Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU)	Total
Addison's Disease	4.01% 12	16.39% 49	73.58% 220	6.02% 18	299
Allergies- mild/moderate/controlled	12.26% 70	54.82% 313	31.52% 180	1.40% 8	571
Allergies- severe/uncontrolled	2.01% 11	17.40% 95	67.95% 371	12.64% 69	546
Amputee- three remaining limbs	43.51% 248	38.60% 220	17.19% 98	0.70% 4	570
Arthritis	2.66% 15	31.62% 178	65.01% 366	0.71% 4	563
Autoimmune Disease- mild/controllable	3.70% 19	41.72% 214	53.02% 272	1.56% 8	513
Autoimmune Disease- moderate/severe	2.27% 11	9.69% 47	63.51% 308	24.54% 119	485
Bite Wound(s)	9.38% 53	84.25% 476	6.02% 34	0.35% 2	565
Bladder Stones	3.75% 20	77.15% 412	18.16% 97	0.94% 5	534
Blindness	24.33% 137	27.00% 152	45.47% 256	3.20% 18	563
Bloat (GDV)	3.66% 14	58.90% 225	26.44% 101	10.99% 42	382
Broken Jaw or Limb- mild	5.58% 31	84.53% 470	9.35% 52	0.54% 3	556
Broken Jaw or Limb- moderate	3.45% 19	67.51% 372	27.95% 154	1.09% 6	551
Broken Jaw or Limb- severe	2.46% 13	35.23% 186	50.00% 264	12.31% 65	528
Broken Tooth	16.05% 91	76.54% 434	7.23% 41	0.18% 1	567
Burns- mild	8.14% 45	83.73% 463	7.59% 42	0.54% 3	553
Burns- moderate	2.44% 13	62.22% 331	34.02% 181	1.32% 7	532

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey

Burns- severe	2.15% 11	21.53% 110	44.62% 228	31.70% 162	511
Cancer- surgically treatable	2.87% 16	64.81% 361	31.24% 174	1.08% 6	557
Cancer- not surgically treatable	2.59% 14	4.44% 24	42.96% 232	50.00% 270	540
Cardiomyopathy	3.26% 11	19.29% 65	67.66% 228	9.79% 33	337
Cataracts	9.52% 50	51.43% 270	38.67% 203	0.38% 2	525
Cherry Eye	8.71% 33	78.89% 299	11.87% 45	0.53% 2	379
Conjunctivitis	9.07% 39	81.40% 350	9.30% 40	0.23% 1	430
Cushing's Disease	2.87% 9	20.70% 65	72.29% 227	4.14% 13	314
Deafness	31.87% 174	29.85% 163	36.63% 200	1.65% 9	546
Dehydration	10.52% 57	82.84% 449	6.46% 35	0.18% 1	542
Dental- Abscessed Tooth or Teeth	4.73% 26	83.82% 461	11.27% 62	0.18% 1	550
Dental- Oral Mass	2.83% 13	63.83% 293	29.41% 135	3.92% 18	459
Dental- Periodontal Disease	3.89% 18	64.58% 299	29.81% 138	1.73% 8	463
Dental- Worn Teeth	20.71% 105	42.41% 215	35.90% 182	0.99% 5	507
Diabetes	2.62% 14	31.09% 166	63.86% 341	2.43% 13	534
Diarrhea	7.82% 43	84.55% 465	7.09% 39	0.55% 3	550
Distemper	3.69% 15	29.98% 122	29.98% 122	36.36% 148	407
Dry Eye	8.39% 37	62.36% 275	28.80% 127	0.45% 2	441
Ear Infection	6.95% 38	87.02% 476	5.85% 32	0.18% 1	547
Emaciation (no underlying disease)	8.37% 40	71.55% 342	18.62% 89	1.46% 7	478
Fleas	20.25% 113	75.63% 422	3.94% 22	0.18% 1	558
Foreign Body (GI)	4.49% 20	76.40% 340	18.65% 83	0.45% 2	445
Fracture- mild	5.28% 29	88.52% 486	6.01% 33	0.18% 1	549
Fracture- moderate	2.96% 16	74.44% 402	22.22% 120	0.37% 2	540
Fracture- severe	2.10% 11	39.01% 204	52.39% 274	6.50% 34	523

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey


Frostbite	4.12% 21	72.35% 369	23.14% 118	0.39% 2	510
Heart Murmur- no clinical signs	32.06% 160	42.28% 211	24.65% 123	1.00% 5	499
Heart Murmur- clinical signs	3.51% 17	34.43% 167	60.62% 294	1.44% 7	485
Heartworm	3.67% 17	63.28% 293	27.86% 129	5.18% 24	463
Hernia	4.66% 22	77.75% 367	17.37% 82	0.21% 1	472
Hypothyroidism	3.55% 16	44.79% 202	51.44% 232	0.22% 1	451
Illness, Other- mild	8.38% 42	81.64% 409	9.58% 48	0.40% 2	501
Illness, Other- moderate	3.04% 15	65.31% 322	30.83% 152	0.81% 4	493
Illness, Other- severe	1.96% 9	23.53% 108	52.51% 241	22.00% 101	459
Incontinence	5.45% 26	30.61% 146	59.54% 284	4.40% 21	477
Injury- mild	11.66% 61	82.60% 432	5.54% 29	0.19% 1	523
Injury- moderate	3.50% 18	74.76% 385	21.36% 110	0.39% 2	515
Injury- severe	2.07% 10	30.79% 149	47.11% 228	20.04% 97	484
Kennel Cough	7.00% 34	81.48% 396	10.29% 50	1.23% 6	486
Kidney Failure	1.87% 9	7.88% 38	48.13% 232	42.12% 203	482
Lameness	7.74% 36	45.81% 213	44.30% 206	2.15% 10	465
Lice	12.31% 66	81.90% 439	5.41% 29	0.37% 2	536
Lipoma(s)	22.19% 69	54.02% 168	19.61% 61	4.18% 13	311
Luxating Patella	5.45% 18	43.94% 145	49.70% 164	0.91% 3	330
Mange- Demodex	4.87% 19	76.41% 298	17.95% 70	0.77% 3	390
Mange- Sarcoptes	4.47% 16	68.44% 245	25.42% 91	1.68% 6	358
Obesity	7.93% 44	80.90% 449	10.99% 61	0.18% 1	555
Pancreatitis	2.35% 9	39.69% 152	50.91% 195	7.05% 27	383
Parasite, Internal	4.57% 22	82.74% 398	12.27% 59	0.42% 2	481
Parvovirus- mild/moderate	2.43% 10	62.29% 256	31.14% 128	4.14% 17	411

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey


Parvovirus- severe	2.27% 9	21.72% 86	30.81% 122	45.20% 179	396
Pneumonia	2.64% 13	75.25% 371	21.50% 106	0.61% 3	493
Pregnant	59.26% 320	37.04% 200	3.52% 19	0.19% 1	540
Prolapsed Rectum	4.50% 17	58.20% 220	32.54% 123	4.76% 18	378
Proptosed Eye	4.00% 11	61.45% 169	33.45% 92	1.09% 3	275
Pyometra	4.08% 10	69.80% 171	22.86% 56	3.27% 8	245
Rabies (suspected)	3.50% 15	19.81% 85	23.78% 102	52.91% 227	429
Ringworm	5.81% 28	77.39% 373	15.15% 73	1.66% 8	482
Sebaceous Cyst/ Adenoma	16.11% 58	71.67% 258	11.11% 40	1.11% 4	360
Seizures- mild/controlled	6.25% 33	42.80% 226	50.19% 265	0.76% 4	528
Seizures- severe/uncontrolled	2.19% 11	8.17% 41	46.61% 234	43.03% 216	502
Smoke Inhalation	7.25% 35	69.15% 334	22.36% 108	1.24% 6	483
Tick(s)	13.52% 71	80.95% 425	5.33% 28	0.19% 1	525
Underage/Unweaned Puppies (under 8 weeks)	28.46% 144	60.28% 305	10.28% 52	0.99% 5	506
Urinary Tract Infection	6.39% 34	86.47% 460	6.95% 37	0.19% 1	532
Vomiting	7.43% 39	84.95% 446	7.05% 37	0.57% 3	525

Q5 Please select one answer per CANINE BEHAVIOURAL condition. If you are unsure or not comfortable selecting an answer for a condition, leave it blank.


Answered: 523 Skipped: 707




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



■ Healthy (H)
 ■ Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)
 ■ Treatable-Manageable (TM)
 ■ Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU)


	Healthy (H)	Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)	Treatable-Manageable (TM)	Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU)	Total
Aggression, dog-cat, mild threatening behaviour	9.27% 47	74.36% 377	15.38% 78	0.99% 5	507
Aggression, dog-cat, moderate-severe	2.85% 14	30.96% 152	58.04% 285	8.15% 40	491
Aggression, dog-dog, mild threatening behaviour	5.07% 25	66.94% 330	25.15% 124	2.84% 14	493
Aggression, dog-dog, moderate-severe	1.65% 8	25.10% 122	58.85% 286	14.40% 70	486
Aggression, dog-human, mild threatening behaviour	3.22% 16	59.15% 294	31.79% 158	5.84% 29	497
Aggression, dog-human, moderate-severe	1.89% 9	17.89% 85	40.63% 193	39.58% 188	475
Aggression, fear-based, mild	5.60% 28	75.00% 375	18.20% 91	1.20% 6	500
Aggression, fear-based, moderate-severe	1.85% 9	32.30% 157	57.20% 278	8.64% 42	486
Aggression, history of, known triggers and no bite history	4.88% 24	57.52% 283	34.15% 168	3.46% 17	492
Aggression, history of, with bite/injury or unpredictable	1.69% 8	14.56% 69	36.71% 174	47.05% 223	474
Aggression, redirected	4.53% 19	41.05% 172	44.39% 186	10.02% 42	419
Aggression, resource guarding, mild threatening behaviour	6.07% 29	66.32% 317	26.36% 126	1.26% 6	478
Aggression, resource guarding, moderate-severe	1.76% 8	30.84% 140	51.54% 234	15.86% 72	454
Anxiety- mild-moderate	8.08% 40	77.98% 386	13.74% 68	0.20% 1	495
Anxiety- severe	1.64% 8	30.94% 151	61.68% 301	5.74% 28	488
Attention seeking behaviour	26.07% 128	63.75% 313	9.78% 48	0.41% 2	491
Barking	29.60% 148	58.60% 293	11.40% 57	0.40% 2	500
Bite history- provoked	11.41% 55	52.28% 252	31.54% 152	4.77% 23	482
Bite history- unprovoked	1.95% 9	18.18% 84	32.68% 151	47.19% 218	462

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey


Compulsive disorder- mild/moderate	5.12% 23	65.03% 292	28.73% 129	1.11% 5	449
Compulsive disorder- severe	2.08% 9	19.63% 85	62.36% 270	15.94% 69	433
Depression	5.82% 28	74.43% 358	18.92% 91	0.83% 4	481
Destructive behaviour- mild/moderate	5.44% 26	72.59% 347	21.34% 102	0.63% 3	478
Destructive behaviour- severe	2.38% 11	25.97% 120	60.61% 280	11.04% 51	462
Escape behaviour- mild/moderate	9.24% 44	69.75% 332	20.80% 99	0.21% 1	476
Escape behaviour- severe	2.39% 11	30.00% 138	60.87% 280	6.74% 31	460
Fearful- mild	13.21% 65	74.39% 366	12.20% 60	0.20% 1	492
Fearful- moderate/severe	2.33% 11	39.75% 188	53.49% 253	4.44% 21	473
Feral	7.98% 34	26.29% 112	44.60% 190	21.13% 90	426
High arousal- moderate	7.82% 33	59.95% 253	31.75% 134	0.47% 2	422
High arousal- severe	2.43% 10	29.93% 123	57.42% 236	10.22% 42	411
House soiling- mild	10.02% 48	76.41% 366	13.36% 64	0.21% 1	479
House soiling- severe/chronic	1.94% 9	23.11% 107	58.96% 273	15.98% 74	463
Phobia- moderate	5.61% 25	68.39% 305	25.34% 113	0.67% 3	446
Phobia- severe	2.55% 11	24.77% 107	63.19% 273	9.49% 41	432
Prey drive- moderate	11.31% 50	51.13% 226	35.97% 159	1.58% 7	442
Prey drive- severe	2.56% 11	19.53% 84	58.84% 253	19.07% 82	430
Separation anxiety- mild/moderate	10.04% 49	71.52% 349	18.03% 88	0.41% 2	488
Separation anxiety- severe	2.13% 10	26.17% 123	63.83% 300	7.87% 37	470
Unsocialized- mild	10.59% 52	72.51% 356	16.29% 80	0.61% 3	491
Unsocialized- moderate/severe	2.58% 12	33.05% 154	55.79% 260	8.58% 40	466

Q6 Please select one answer per FELINE MEDICAL condition. If you are unsure or not comfortable selecting an answer for a condition, leave it blank.

Answered: 414 Skipped: 816




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



	Healthy (H)	Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)	Treatable-Manageable (TM)	Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU)	Total
Abscess	5.87% 22	88.00% 330	5.87% 22	0.27% 1	375
Allergies- mild/moderate/controlled	6.58% 26	69.11% 273	23.80% 94	0.51% 2	395
Allergies- severe/uncontrolled	2.34% 9	19.53% 75	66.67% 256	11.46% 44	384
Amputee- three remaining limbs	48.24% 192	34.17% 136	16.83% 67	0.75% 3	398
Arthritis	4.33% 17	37.15% 146	58.27% 229	0.25% 1	393
Asthma	3.94% 15	37.27% 142	58.01% 221	0.79% 3	381

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey

Autoimmune Disease- mild/controllable	2.51% 9	46.09% 165	50.28% 180	1.12% 4	358
Autoimmune Disease- moderate/severe	2.02% 7	8.96% 31	65.61% 227	23.41% 81	346
Bite Wound(s)	4.81% 19	88.10% 348	6.58% 26	0.51% 2	395
Bladder Stones	2.43% 9	75.14% 278	21.89% 81	0.54% 2	370
Blindness	30.75% 119	24.55% 95	43.15% 167	1.55% 6	387
Broken Jaw or Limb- mild	3.88% 15	85.79% 332	9.82% 38	0.52% 2	387
Broken Jaw or Limb- moderate	2.63% 10	62.89% 239	32.89% 125	1.58% 6	380
Broken Jaw or Limb- severe	1.93% 7	31.68% 115	49.31% 179	17.08% 62	363
Broken Tooth	11.95% 46	79.74% 307	8.05% 31	0.26% 1	385
Burns- mild	3.39% 13	89.56% 343	6.53% 25	0.52% 2	383
Burns- moderate	1.90% 7	57.18% 211	39.57% 146	1.36% 5	369
Burns- severe	1.96% 7	20.11% 72	39.94% 143	37.99% 136	358
Cancer- surgically treatable	2.86% 11	68.75% 264	26.82% 103	1.56% 6	384
Cancer- not surgically treatable	1.89% 7	5.14% 19	40.00% 148	52.97% 196	370
Cardiomyopathy	3.73% 9	26.14% 63	62.66% 151	7.47% 18	241
Cataracts	10.23% 36	49.15% 173	40.06% 141	0.57% 2	352
Cerebellar Hypoplasia	12.21% 21	19.19% 33	50.58% 87	18.02% 31	172
Conjunctivitis	3.32% 10	85.05% 256	10.96% 33	0.66% 2	301
Deafness	35.51% 136	22.19% 85	40.73% 156	1.57% 6	383
Dehydration	6.40% 24	86.40% 324	6.67% 25	0.53% 2	375
Dental- Abscessed Tooth or Teeth	3.72% 14	85.64% 322	10.37% 39	0.27% 1	376
Dental- Oral Mass	2.48% 8	58.82% 190	31.58% 102	7.12% 23	323
Dental- Periodontal Disease	2.74% 9	63.72% 209	31.71% 104	1.83% 6	328
Dental- Resorptive Lesion	3.79% 8	64.45% 136	29.86% 63	1.90% 4	211
Dental- Stomatitis	3.78% 7	48.11% 89	42.70% 79	5.41% 10	185

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey


Diabetes	2.94% 11	25.94% 97	67.38% 252	3.74% 14	374
Diarrhea	3.99% 15	88.56% 333	7.18% 27	0.27% 1	376
Ear Infection	4.15% 16	90.67% 350	4.92% 19	0.26% 1	386
Ear Mites	5.99% 23	88.28% 339	5.21% 20	0.52% 2	384
Eosinophilic Granuloma Complex (Rodent Ulcer)	3.51% 6	46.78% 80	43.86% 75	5.85% 10	171
Emaciation (no underlying disease)	5.23% 17	68.62% 223	23.08% 75	3.08% 10	325
Feline Idiopathic Cystitis/ FLUTD	3.30% 7	36.32% 77	56.60% 120	3.77% 8	212
FIV (Feline Immunodeficiency Virus)	4.35% 12	11.23% 31	67.39% 186	17.03% 47	276
FIP (Feline Infectious Peritonitis)	4.09% 9	13.64% 30	38.18% 84	44.09% 97	220
FeLV (Feline Leukemia Virus)	4.15% 11	6.79% 18	60.75% 161	28.30% 75	265
Fleas	11.20% 43	84.11% 323	4.43% 17	0.26% 1	384
Foreign Body (GI)	3.14% 10	79.56% 253	16.04% 51	1.26% 4	318
Fracture- mild	3.70% 14	89.95% 340	6.08% 23	0.26% 1	378
Fracture- moderate	2.14% 8	70.05% 262	27.27% 102	0.53% 2	374
Fracture- severe	1.95% 7	33.15% 119	49.30% 177	15.60% 56	359
Frostbite	3.37% 12	74.16% 264	21.63% 77	0.84% 3	356
Heart Murmur- no clinical signs	25.71% 91	44.92% 159	28.53% 101	0.85% 3	354
Heart Murmur- clinical signs	2.89% 10	23.99% 83	69.94% 242	3.18% 11	346
Hernia	3.60% 12	81.98% 273	14.11% 47	0.30% 1	333
Hyperthyroidism	2.38% 8	44.05% 148	52.08% 175	1.49% 5	336
Illness, Other- mild	5.08% 18	87.01% 308	7.63% 27	0.28% 1	354
Illness, Other- moderate	2.35% 8	63.93% 218	32.84% 112	0.88% 3	341
Illness, Other- severe	2.15% 7	21.78% 71	51.23% 167	24.85% 81	326
Incontinence	2.93% 10	33.14% 113	53.08% 181	10.85% 37	341
Injury- mild	6.25% 23	88.04% 324	5.43% 20	0.27% 1	368

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey


Injury- moderate	2.23% 8	68.99% 247	28.49% 102	0.28% 1	358
Injury- severe	2.04% 7	24.49% 84	49.27% 169	24.20% 83	343
Kidney (Renal) Insufficiency	3.14% 10	17.30% 55	70.75% 225	8.81% 28	318
Kidney (Renal) Failure	2.11% 7	4.22% 14	34.94% 116	58.73% 195	332
Lameness	8.77% 30	48.25% 165	40.35% 138	2.63% 9	342
Lice	7.89% 30	87.63% 333	4.21% 16	0.26% 1	380
Lipoma(s)	16.52% 38	63.48% 146	18.70% 43	1.30% 3	230
Obesity	6.28% 24	82.20% 314	11.26% 43	0.26% 1	382
Pancreatitis	2.45% 7	38.46% 110	51.75% 148	7.34% 21	286
Panleukopenia	3.63% 7	22.28% 43	41.97% 81	32.12% 62	193
Parasite, Internal	3.24% 11	87.06% 296	9.41% 32	0.29% 1	340
Pneumonia	2.59% 9	80.98% 281	15.85% 55	0.58% 2	347
Pregnant	53.89% 201	42.63% 159	3.22% 12	0.27% 1	373
Prolapsed Rectum	3.03% 8	64.39% 170	28.41% 75	4.17% 11	264
Pyometra	4.23% 8	74.60% 141	18.52% 35	2.65% 5	189
Rabies (suspected)	2.68% 8	16.05% 48	22.74% 68	58.53% 175	299
Ringworm	2.82% 10	81.69% 290	13.80% 49	1.69% 6	355
Seizures- mild/controlled	4.62% 17	52.17% 192	42.39% 156	0.82% 3	368
Seizures- severe/uncontrolled	2.00% 7	8.00% 28	46.00% 161	44.00% 154	350
Smoke Inhalation	5.12% 17	74.40% 247	19.28% 64	1.20% 4	332
Underage/Unweaned Kittens (under 8 weeks)	30.39% 110	59.12% 214	9.67% 35	0.83% 3	362
Upper Respiratory Tract Infection (URI)	3.13% 11	80.63% 283	15.95% 56	0.28% 1	351
Urinary Tract Infection	2.42% 9	88.44% 329	8.87% 33	0.27% 1	372
Vomiting	6.13% 23	82.40% 309	10.93% 41	0.53% 2	375

Q7 Please select one answer per FELINE BEHAVIOURAL condition. If you are unsure or not comfortable selecting an answer for a condition, leave it blank.


Answered: 388 Skipped: 842




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




	Healthy (H)	Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)	Treatable-Manageable (TM)	Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU)	Total
Aggression, cat-cat, mild threatening behaviour	17.34% 64	61.52% 227	19.78% 73	1.36% 5	369
Aggression, cat-cat, moderate-severe	5.21% 19	27.12% 99	63.29% 231	4.38% 16	365
Aggression, cat-human, mild threatening behaviour	6.58% 24	63.84% 233	27.12% 99	2.47% 9	365
Aggression, cat-human, moderate-severe	2.21% 8	18.51% 67	50.83% 184	28.45% 103	362
Aggression, fear-based, mild	8.77% 32	70.68% 258	19.18% 70	1.37% 5	365
Aggression, fear-based, moderate-severe	2.22% 8	29.17% 105	55.83% 201	12.78% 46	360
Aggression, history of, known triggers and no bite history	8.82% 32	51.24% 186	37.19% 135	2.75% 10	363
Aggression, history of, with bite/injury or unpredictable	2.52% 9	16.25% 58	43.98% 157	37.25% 133	357
Aggression, petting	7.18% 26	45.30% 164	43.65% 158	3.87% 14	362
Aggression, play	10.74% 39	52.62% 191	35.54% 129	1.10% 4	363
Aggression, redirected	6.29% 21	48.80% 163	39.22% 131	5.69% 19	334
Anxiety- mild-moderate	8.54% 31	70.80% 257	20.39% 74	0.28% 1	363
Anxiety- severe	1.96% 7	21.85% 78	62.75% 224	13.45% 48	357

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey


Bite history- provoked	11.91% 43	50.97% 184	33.24% 120	3.88% 14	361
Bite history- unprovoked	2.84% 10	17.05% 60	42.33% 149	37.78% 133	352
Compulsive disorder- mild/moderate	4.86% 16	63.22% 208	31.00% 102	0.91% 3	329
Compulsive disorder- severe	2.19% 7	16.56% 53	63.13% 202	18.13% 58	320
Depression	4.26% 15	70.45% 248	25.00% 88	0.28% 1	352
Escape behaviour- mild/moderate	13.33% 48	60.28% 217	26.11% 94	0.28% 1	360
Escape behaviour- severe	3.24% 11	24.12% 82	64.41% 219	8.24% 28	340
Fearful- mild	14.57% 52	68.91% 246	16.25% 58	0.28% 1	357
Fearful- moderate/severe	2.87% 10	31.90% 111	57.47% 200	7.76% 27	348
Feral- kitten (< 12 weeks)	20.52% 71	56.94% 197	20.81% 72	1.73% 6	346
Feral- older kitten or cat (>12 weeks)	9.88% 34	31.40% 108	45.93% 158	12.79% 44	344
High arousal- moderate	8.20% 26	62.46% 198	28.39% 90	0.95% 3	317
High arousal- severe	3.21% 10	22.44% 70	62.18% 194	12.18% 38	312
Inappropriate elimination- mild	5.01% 18	66.02% 237	26.46% 95	2.51% 9	359
Inappropriate elimination- severe/chronic	2.31% 8	15.90% 55	54.34% 188	27.46% 95	346
Phobia- moderate	4.44% 15	67.16% 227	28.11% 95	0.30% 1	338
Phobia- severe	2.14% 7	18.65% 61	64.53% 211	14.68% 48	327
Psychogenic alopecia (overgrooming)	3.92% 13	44.58% 148	50.30% 167	1.20% 4	332
Scratching furniture	19.41% 72	53.37% 198	26.15% 97	1.08% 4	371
Separation anxiety- mild/moderate	7.54% 27	73.18% 262	18.44% 66	0.84% 3	358
Separation anxiety- severe	1.76% 6	23.17% 79	64.52% 220	10.56% 36	341
Spraying	8.12% 29	42.86% 153	40.06% 143	8.96% 32	357
Unsocialized- mild	11.42% 41	70.47% 253	16.99% 61	1.11% 4	359
Unsocialized- moderate/severe	2.32% 8	28.70% 99	55.94% 193	13.04% 45	345

Q8 Please select one answer per SMALL MAMMAL (rabbit, rodent, ferret) MEDICAL condition. If you are unsure or not comfortable selecting an answer for a condition, leave it blank.


Answered: 272 Skipped: 958




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




	Healthy (H)	Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)	Treatable-Manageable (TM)	Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU)	Total
Abscess	2.64% 6	87.22% 198	7.49% 17	2.64% 6	227
Cancer- surgically treatable	1.24% 3	66.53% 161	25.62% 62	6.61% 16	242
Cancer- not surgically treatable	1.24% 3	2.48% 6	29.75% 72	66.53% 161	242
Dental- Incisor Overgrowth (rodent)	3.13% 7	70.98% 159	22.77% 51	3.13% 7	224
Dental- Incisor Overgrowth (rabbit, guinea pig, chinchilla)	3.07% 7	68.86% 157	25.88% 59	2.19% 5	228
Dental- Molar Overgrowth or Malocclusion	2.96% 6	59.11% 120	32.02% 65	5.91% 12	203
Diarrhea	2.05% 5	84.84% 207	12.70% 31	0.41% 1	244
Ear Mites	1.95% 5	90.23% 231	7.81% 20	0.00% 0	256
Fur Mites	2.42% 6	88.71% 220	8.87% 22	0.00% 0	248
Gastric Stasis	2.22% 3	49.63% 67	38.52% 52	9.63% 13	135
Head Tilt	4.27% 7	32.93% 54	50.00% 82	12.80% 21	164
Illness, Other- mild	1.72% 4	89.66% 208	8.19% 19	0.43% 1	232
Illness, Other- moderate	1.37% 3	51.60% 113	45.21% 99	1.83% 4	219
Illness, Other- severe	0.91% 2	19.55% 43	35.45% 78	44.09% 97	220
Injury- mild	3.39% 8	87.29% 206	8.90% 21	0.42% 1	236
Injury- moderate	1.32% 3	57.02% 130	37.28% 85	4.39% 10	228

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey


Injury- severe	0.90% 2	19.73% 44	36.32% 81	43.05% 96	223
Mammary Mass(es)	2.04% 4	44.39% 87	35.71% 70	17.86% 35	196
Obesity	5.12% 13	82.28% 209	12.60% 32	0.00% 0	254
Parasites, External	1.67% 4	90.42% 217	7.50% 18	0.42% 1	240
Pregnant	49.22% 127	45.35% 117	5.43% 14	0.00% 0	258
Respiratory Infection	1.26% 3	79.92% 191	17.15% 41	1.67% 4	239
Ringworm	1.66% 4	80.50% 194	13.69% 33	4.15% 10	241
Skin Mass	2.50% 5	63.50% 127	28.00% 56	6.00% 12	200
Urine Scald	2.17% 4	77.72% 143	19.02% 35	1.09% 2	184
Vomiting (ferrets only)	2.69% 5	75.27% 140	20.43% 38	1.61% 3	186

Q9 Please select one answer per SMALL MAMMAL (rabbit, rodent, ferret) BEHAVIOURAL condition. If you are unsure or not comfortable selecting an answer for a condition, please leave it blank.


Answered: 242 Skipped: 988



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey



British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey




	Healthy (H)	Treatable-Rehabilitatable (TR)	Treatable-Manageable (TM)	Untreatable&Unhealthy (UU)	Total
Aggression, normal behaviour	31.86% 72	46.02% 104	18.58% 42	3.54% 8	226
Aggression, in excess of normal (ie during routine gentle handling)	2.24% 5	39.91% 89	40.81% 91	17.04% 38	223
Barbering (whisker trimming), mice	14.37% 23	53.75% 86	29.38% 47	2.50% 4	160
Bar-biting, mice	8.93% 15	45.83% 77	40.48% 68	4.76% 8	168
Destructive behaviour, ferrets	8.70% 16	41.85% 77	44.02% 81	5.43% 10	184
Fearful- mild	9.95% 22	73.76% 163	15.84% 35	0.45% 1	221
Fearful- moderate	1.44% 3	48.08% 100	46.63% 97	3.85% 8	208
Fearful- severe	0.49% 1	17.96% 37	47.57% 98	33.98% 70	206

British Columbia Asilomar Accords Pet Evaluation Matrix (PEM) Survey

Litter training problems, ferrets	3.98% 8	60.20% 121	33.33% 67	2.49% 5	201
Litter training problems, rabbits	5.19% 11	58.02% 123	34.43% 73	2.36% 5	212
Unsocialized- mild	10.09% 23	67.54% 154	21.05% 48	1.32% 3	228
Unsocialized- moderate/severe	0.94% 2	29.58% 63	49.77% 106	19.72% 42	213

Q10 The BC SPCA will be hosting a community meeting in Vancouver on Thursday, November 26 from 6:30-8:00 pm about these definitions. Attendees can also participate by calling in or going online via a webinar. Are you interested in attending this meeting?

Answered: 436 Skipped: 794



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, I am interested in attending in person.	7.80% 34
Yes, I am interested in attending in person and would prefer a meeting during the day	3.90% 17
Yes, I am interested in attending via webinar.	20.64% 90
Yes, I am interested in attending via webinar and would prefer a meeting during the day	10.55% 46
No, I am not interested in attending	64.45% 281
Total Respondents: 436	

Q11 If you would like us to contact you with details regarding the meeting, please type your e-mail address here:

Answered: 154 Skipped: 1,076

Q12 If you would like to be entered in a drawing to receive a \$100 Visa gift card, please type your e-mail address here:

Answered: 338 Skipped: 892

Q13 Please share any additional comments below:

Answered: 82 Skipped: 1,148

Asilomar Accords Community Meeting: Conditions for further discussion/consensus

November 26, 2015

Canine- Medical

Condition	H	TR	TM	UU
Dry Eye (KCS)				
Heart Murmur- with clinical signs				
Hypothyroidism				
Lameness				
Mange- Sarcoptes				
Pancreatitis				
Parvovirus- mild/moderate				
Pregnant				
Rabies (suspected)				
Seizures- mild/controlled				

Canine- Behavioural

Condition	H	TR	TM	UU
Aggression, dog-dog- moderate/severe				
Aggression, fear-based- mild				
Compulsive disorder- moderate/severe				
Destructive behaviour- moderate/severe				
Fearful- moderate/severe				
House soiling- severe/chronic				
Phobia- severe				
Prey drive- severe				
Separation anxiety- severe				
Unsocialized- moderate/severe				

Feline- Medical

Condition	H	TR	TM	UU
Allergies- mild/moderate				
Asthma				
Bladder stones				
Cerebellar hypoplasia				
Feline idiopathic cystitis				
Fleas				
Heart murmur- clinical signs				
Incontinence				
Panleukopenia virus				
Ringworm				

Feline- Behavioural

Condition	H	TR	TM	UU
Aggression, cat-human, moderate/severe				
Aggression, fear-based, moderate/severe				
Aggression, petting				
Anxiety- severe				
Compulsive disorder- moderate/severe				
Feral- older kitten or cat (> 12 weeks)				
Inappropriate elimination- severe/chronic				
Phobia- severe				
Spraying				
Unsocialized- moderate/severe				

Small Mammal- Medical

Condition	H	TR	TM	UU
Dental- incisor overgrowth (rodent)				
Dental- incisor overgrowth (rabbit, guinea pig, chinchilla)				
Dental- molar overgrowth or malocclusion				
Gastric stasis				
Head tilt				
Mammary masses				
Parasites, external				
Respiratory infection				
Ringworm				
Skin Mass				

Small Mammal- Behavioural

Condition	H	TR	TM	UU
Aggression, normal				
Aggression, in excess of normal (during gentle handling)				
Barbering (whisker trimming), mice				
Destructive behaviour, ferrets				
Fearful- moderate				
Fearful- severe				
Litter training problems, ferrets				
Litter training problems, rabbits				
Unsocialized- mild				
Unsocialized- moderate/severe				